

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,678	78,678 02/07/2001		Robb Richard Gardner	7970M	4103
27752	7590	14/20/2003		EXAMINER	
		GAMBLE COMPA OPERTY DIVISION	SALVATORE, LYNDA		
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			1771		
CINCINNA	11, OH 4	15224		DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	š

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/778,678	GARDNER ET AL.				
navious notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09/25/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounthe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	,	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper Nots.						
10. ☐ Other:						
	PRIMARY EX	ANDER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found persuasive of patentability with respect to claims 1-23. Applicant argues that Takumi does not teach a fabric substrate treated with the instantly claimed composition, however, the Examiner maintains that the final product of Tukumi comprises the same composition constituents as the instantly claimed product. As such, the Tukumi final product must have the same instantly claimed properties. Applicant has failed to provide evidence otherwise. With respect to claim 24, Applicant's arguments are found persuasive. The fabric treatment of Tukumi does include the claimed step of treating the fabric with the inventive composition and curing said composition Thus, this rejection is hereby withdrawn. However, said claim is not found allowable at this time since an updated art search would be required.